UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form SD **Specialized Disclosure Report**

ACUITY BRANDS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

001-16583

58-2632672

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(Commission File Number)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1170 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 2300, Atlanta, Georgia

30309-7676

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Richard K. Reece, (404) 853-1400

(Name and telephone number, including area code, of the person to contact in connection with this report.)

Check the appropriate box to indicate the rule pursuant to which this form is being filed, and provide the period to which the information in this form applies: 🖾 Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13p-1) for the reporting period from January 1 to December 31, 2014.

Introduction

This Specialized Disclosure Report on Form SD ("Form SD") of Acuity Brands, Inc. ("Acuity Brands" or the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2014 is filed to comply with Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Rule"). The Rule was adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to implement reporting and disclosure requirements related to conflict minerals as required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010. Conflict minerals are defined by the SEC as columbite-tantalite, cassiterite, gold, wolframite, or their derivatives, which are limited to tantalum, tin, and tungsten (collectively, the "Subject Minerals"). The Rule requires certain registrants to conduct a reasonable country of origin inquiry ("RCOI") designed to determine whether any of the Subject Minerals originated in the Democratic Republic of the Congo ("DRC"), or an adjoining country (collectively, the "Covered Countries").

Company Overview

Acuity Brands is one of the world's leading providers of lighting solutions for commercial, institutional, industrial, infrastructure, and residential applications throughout North America and select international markets. The Company's lighting solutions include devices such as luminaires, lighting controls, lighting components, power supplies, prismatic skylights, light-emitting diode lamps, and integrated lighting systems for indoor and outdoor applications utilizing a combination of light sources, including daylighting, and other devices controlled by software that monitors and manages light levels while optimizing energy consumption. The Company manufactures or procures lighting devices primarily in North America, Europe, and Asia. The production or functionality of certain of the Company's lighting devices requires components such as circuit boards, capacitors, metal wires, electrodes, and other electronic components that contain the Subject Minerals. Consequently, the Company is subject to the disclosure and reporting requirements of the Rule.

Supply Chain Description

The Company utilizes a blend of internal and outsourced manufacturing processes and capabilities to fulfill a variety of customer needs in the most cost-effective manner. The Company operates 16 manufacturing facilities in North America and Europe. The Company also purchases certain components and finished goods from a broad network of suppliers located primarily in the U.S., Asia, and Europe. While some of the Company's products contain the Subject Minerals, the Company does not procure ore or unrefined minerals directly from mines and is many steps removed from the mining of the Subject Minerals. The origin of the Subject Minerals cannot be determined with any certainty once the ores are smelted, refined and converted to ingots, bullion, or other mineral derivatives. The smelters and refiners are consolidating points for ore and, therefore, are in the best position to identify the origin of the ore. The Company relies on its suppliers to provide accurate information, including the identification of smelters and refiners, during its RCOI and due diligence procedures.

SECTION 1 - CONFLICT MINERALS DISCLOSURE

Item 1.01. Conflict Minerals Disclosure and Report.

Description of Reasonable Country of Origin Inquiry Efforts

For the 2014 reporting year, the Company conducted a supply chain survey with direct suppliers to determine the origin of the Subject Minerals, using the Conflict Minerals Reporting Template. Specifically, the survey requests direct suppliers to identify the smelters and refiners as well as the countries of origin for Subject Minerals contained in the products they sell to the Company. Management reviewed the responses and compared the smelters and refiners identified in the surveys against the lists of facilities with a "conflict free" designation by the Conflict-Free Smelter Program ("CFSP") or other independent third-party audit program. The Company's procedures for the RCOI and due diligence process are overlapping in nature; therefore, this report should be read in conjunction with the Company's Conflict Minerals Report filed as Exhibit 1.01 of this filing.

Conclusion Based on Reasonable Country of Origin Inquiry

Based on its RCOI, the Company believes it is possible that certain of the Subject Minerals used in its products may have originated in the Covered Countries and may not be from recycled or scrap sources. As discussed in the attached Conflict Minerals Report, the Company has insufficient information from suppliers or other sources regarding all of the smelters and refiners that processed the Subject Minerals to conclude whether the Subject Minerals originated in the Covered Countries.

Conflict Minerals Disclosure

The Conflict Minerals Report for the calendar year ended December 31, 2014, filed herewith as Exhibit 1.01, is publicly available at www.acuitybrands.com, but the contents of that site are not incorporated by reference into, and are not otherwise a part of, this Form SD.

This Form SD and the Conflict Minerals Report filed as Exhibit 1.01 contain statements that are forward looking, as that term is defined by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 or by the SEC in its rules, regulations and releases. Forward-looking statements also include any statement that is not based on historical fact, including statements containing the words "believes," "may," "plans," "will," "could," "should," "estimates," "continues," "anticipates," "intends," "expects," and similar expressions. The Company intends that such forward-looking statements be subject to the safe harbors created thereby. All forward-looking statements are based on current expectations regarding important risk factors and should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the results expressed therein will be achieved. The Company assumes no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason, except as required by law. Important factors that could cause actual outcomes to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement include those described in the Company's reports, including its Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2014 and other forms filed by the Company with the SEC.

Item 1.02 Exhibit

The Conflict Minerals Report required by Item 1.01 is filed as Exhibit 1.01 to this Form SD.

SECTION 2 - EXHIBITS

Item 2.01. Exhibits.

Exhibit 1.01 Conflict Minerals Report as required by Items 1.01 and 1.02 of this Form.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: June 1, 2015

ACUITY BRANDS, INC.

By: /s/ Richard K. Reece

Richard K. Reece Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Acuity Brands, Inc. Conflict Minerals Report

For Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2014

This Conflict Minerals Report of Acuity Brands, Inc. ("Acuity Brands" or the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2014 is filed to comply with Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Rule"). The Rule was adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to implement reporting and disclosure requirements related to conflict minerals as required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010. Conflict minerals are defined by the SEC as columbite-tantalite, cassiterite, gold, wolframite, or their derivatives, which are limited to tantalum, tin, and tungsten (collectively, the "Subject Minerals"). The Rule requires certain registrants to conduct a reasonable country of origin inquiry ("RCOI") designed to determine whether any of the Subject Minerals originated in the Democratic Republic of the Congo ("DRC"), or an adjoining country (collectively, the "Covered Countries").

Pursuant to the Rule, the Company performed due diligence procedures on the source and chain of custody of the Subject Minerals that are included in its products and for which, based on its RCOI, may have originated from the Covered Countries and may not be from recycled or scrap sources.

Design of Due Diligence

The Company implemented a due diligence framework consistent with the Organization of Economic Co-Operation and Development's ("OECD") Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas, Second Edition, and related Supplements on Tin, Tantalum, and Tungsten and Gold (collectively, "OECD Guidance"). Summarized below are the design components of the Company's procedures as they relate to the five-step framework set forth in the OECD Guidance:

1) Establish strong company management systems:

- Adopt and maintain a conflict minerals policy, which is available at http://www.acuitybrands.com/resources/regulations-codes-and-standards/conflict-minerals.
- Adopt and maintain a conflict minerals governance charter, which defines the internal committee supporting compliance and due diligence efforts and the responsibilities of the internal committee.
- Regularly review conflict minerals procedures with the company's Chief Financial Officer and Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.
- Implement a conflict minerals compliance process, including the RCOI procedures described in the Form SD.
- Incorporate requirements related to conflict minerals in the Company's standard supplier agreements and purchase order terms and conditions to obligate current and future suppliers to comply with the Company's conflict minerals policy, including participation in the supply chain survey and related due diligence activities.
- Maintain records related to the RCOI and due diligence procedures in accordance with the Company's retention policy.
- Facilitate employee, supplier, or other stakeholder grievance reporting through the Company's website.

2) Identify and assess risks in our supply chain:

- Identify raw material components that may contain one or more of the Subject Minerals.
- Identify finished goods that may contain one or more of the Subject Minerals for which the Company contracted to manufacture the finished goods.
- Identify the direct suppliers which provided the raw material components and finished goods that may contain one or more of the Subject Minerals.
- Conduct a supply chain survey using the Conflict Minerals Reporting Template ("CMRT"), requesting direct suppliers to identify smelters and refiners as well as the country of origin of the Subject Minerals in the products they sell to the Company.
- Follow up with non-responsive suppliers by requesting compliance with the Company's request for information.
- Review all responses received from suppliers based on the Company's conflict minerals compliance process and request supplemental information from suppliers providing responses that are deemed implausible, incomplete, inaccurate, or otherwise require clarification.
- Compare smelters and refiners identified by the supply chain survey against the list of facilities that have received a "conflict-free" designation from the Conflict Free Smelter Program ("CFSP") or other independent

third party audit program, which designations provide country of origin and due diligence information on the Subject Minerals sourced by such facilities.

- 3) Design and implement a strategy to respond to identified risks:
 - Adopt a conflict minerals policy.
 - Design and implement a risk management plan that outlines the Company's response to identified risks.
 - Perform risk mitigation efforts to bring suppliers into conformity with the Company's conflict minerals policy.
 - Provide, on a regular basis, progress reports to the Company's Chief Financial Officer and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors summarizing risk mitigation efforts.
 - When required by the Rule, obtain an independent private sector audit of this Report.
- 4) Support the development and implementation of independent third party audits of smelters' and refiners' sourcing:
 - Utilize multi-industry due diligence initiatives, including CFSP, to evaluate the procurement practices of the smelters and refiners that process and provide the Subject Minerals used in the Company's products.
- 5) Report on supply chain due diligence:
 - Communicate the Company's conflict minerals policy publicly on the Company's website at http://www.acuitybrands.com/resources/regulations-codes-and-standards/conflict-minerals.
 - Annually file a Conflict Minerals Report with the SEC and publish on the Company's website at http://www.acuitybrands.com.

The content of any website referred to in this report is included for general information only and is not incorporated by reference in this filing.

Due Diligence Measures Performed

Below is a description of the measures performed for the 2014 reporting period to exercise due diligence on the source and chain of custody of the necessary Subject Minerals contained in the Company's products that may have originated from the Covered Countries and may not be from recycled or scrap sources:

- Designed and implemented a risk management plan that outlines the Company's response to identified risks.
- Followed up with non-responsive suppliers by requesting compliance with the Company's request for information.
- Reviewed all responses received from suppliers based on the Company's conflict minerals compliance process and requested supplemental information from suppliers providing responses that are deemed implausible, incomplete, inaccurate, or otherwise require clarification.
- Compared smelters and refiners identified by the supply chain survey against the list of facilities that have received a "conflict-free" designation from the CFSP or other independent third party audit program, which designations provide country of origin and due diligence information on the Subject Minerals sourced by such facilities.
- · Performed risk mitigation efforts to bring suppliers into conformity with the Company's conflict minerals policy.
- Provided periodic progress reports to the Company's Chief Financial Officer and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors summarizing risk mitigation efforts.
- Identified improvement opportunities within the Company's conflict minerals compliance process and developed corrective action plans.

Results of Due Diligence Measures

Inherent Limitations on Due Diligence Measures

As an indirect purchaser of the Subject Minerals, the Company's due diligence efforts can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance regarding the source and chain of custody of the Subject Minerals. The Company is dependent on the data obtained from direct suppliers and in turn, the data those suppliers obtain from within their supply chains to identify the source of the Subject Minerals. In addition, the Company relies on the information collected by independent third party audit programs. These sources of information may yield incomplete or inaccurate data and may be subject to fraud.

Product Determination

Based on due diligence procedures performed in this report, the Company has not been able to confirm the country of origin or chain of custody of the Subject Minerals used in all of its products. The Company has insufficient information from suppliers or other sources regarding all of the smelters and refiners that processed the Subject Minerals to conclude whether the Subject Minerals originated in the Covered Countries. The Company received company-level responses from certain of its suppliers with extensive lists of smelters without product-based information. As a result, the Company was unable to verify that Subject Minerals from these smelters were used in its products. The Company has been unable to verify that the Subject Minerals were from recycled or scrap sources, were DRC conflict free, or were not DRC conflict free, as defined in the Rule.

Independent Private Sector Audit

This report is not subject to an independent private sector audit as allowed under Rule 13p-1, which provides for a two-year transitional period.

Future Due Diligence Measures

The Company intends to implement steps to mitigate the risk that the Subject Minerals benefit armed groups and to improve the RCOI and due diligence processes. These include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Modifying the conflict minerals compliance process with the intent to increase the supplier response rate and to improve the quality of supplier responses;
- · Leveraging industry efforts to identify additional resources to facilitate compliance; and
- Conducting an annual review of the Company's conflict minerals policy, conflict minerals compliance process and the related procedure documentation, and risks for any updates.